

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,893	09/06/2000	Delin Li	198-0191/198-0696	8907
29074	7590 02/25/2005		EXAM	
VISTEON C/O BRINKS	HOFER GILSON & LIO	TRINH, MINH N		
PO BOX 1039 CHICAGO, I	95		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicado, I	r 00010		3729	
	×.		DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	09/655,893	LI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this	Minh Trinh	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHER MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by somy reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n.  a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	?3 February 2005.	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ 1	This action is non-final	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ers prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	2. 11. 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-20</u> is/are pending in the applicati		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-20 is/are withd	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-20</u> is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	**	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or h) objected to h	or the Eventine
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) he held in abeyand	y the Examiner.
replacement drawing sneet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing/s	t) is objected to Co. 27 OFF
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form DTO 450
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	- Additional of the accordance of	Office Action or form P1O-152.
•	1	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) Li Zii b) Li Some "c) Li None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	·
Z. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Apr	olication No.
Copies of the pri	iority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
application from the international Bures	au (PCT Rule 17 2/a))	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not re	ceived.
tachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	и 	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3) 5) L Notice of Infor	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
atent and Trademark Office	6)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed on 12/17/04 has been fully considered and made of record.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "a first pre circuit assembly" and "a second pre-circuit assembly" (see claim 8, lines 1-4) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. This objection is set forth in prior Office Action, paragraph 2, dated 9/17/04.

#### The title

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: "Method for Forming Multilayer Circuit Board assembly" or the like.

## Specification

5. The specification is objected to because it does not clearly describe the feature such as "a first pre circuit assembly and a second pre-circuit assembly" as recited in claim 8, lines 1-4. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b). Noted that at best

Art Unit: 3729

the specification discloses a pre-circuit assembly 124 and the substrate portion 112 but fail to describe exactly what is referred as "a first pre circuit assembly and a second pre-circuit assembly".

- 6. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, paragraph 6, dated 9/17/04.
- 7. Claim 8 as best understood is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akiyama et al (3,801,338). This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, paragraph 9, dated 9/17/04.
- 8. Claim 8 as best understood is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Livshits et al. (4,404,059). This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, paragraph 10, dated 9/17/04.

Regarding claims 9-13. This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, paragraph 9-10, dated 9/17/04.

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/14/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/655,893

Art Unit: 3729

Applicant amendment to the specification does not overcome the drawing objection and the rejection under 112 second paragraphs (see above).

With respect the art rejections as applied to claim 8 (applicant is referred to discussion of last Office Action, paragraph 9-10). Also, applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them.

Further, applicant arguments on the bottom of page 14 and page 15 of his "Remarks" appears to be directed to specification languages because the argument based on the feature which is not cited in the claim.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the limitation features as describe on bottom of page 14 –15) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although applicant should be aware that the claim languages are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In light of the above, Applicant's arguments with respect to Armstrong et al in view of either Akiyama et al or Livshits et al are moot.

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt

PRIMARY EXAMINER